

A Case Report of Subcutaneously Injected Liposomal Cannabidiol Formulation Used as a Compassion Therapy for Pain Management in a Dog

Yael Shilo-Benjamini^{1,2*}, Ahuva Cern¹, Daniel Zilbersheid¹, Atara Hod¹, Eran Lavy², Dinorah Barasch³ and Yechezkel Barenholz¹

¹ Laboratory of Membrane and Liposome Research, Department of Biochemistry, Hadassah Medical School, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel, ² Koret School of Veterinary Medicine, The Robert H. Smith Faculty of Agriculture, Food and Environment, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Rehovot, Israel, ³ The Mass Spectrometry Unit, School of Pharmacy, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Benito Soto-Blanco, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Brazil

Reviewed by:

Joseph Wakshlag, Cornell University, United States Chie Mogi, Yamazaki University of Animal Health Technology, Japan

> *Correspondence: Yael Shilo-Benjamini shilo.yael@gmail.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Veterinary Pharmacology and Toxicology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Veterinary Science

Received: 08 March 2022 Accepted: 06 April 2022 Published: 28 April 2022

Citation:

Shilo-Benjamini Y, Cern A, Zilbersheid D, Hod A, Lavy E, Barasch D and Barenholz Y (2022) A Case Report of Subcutaneously Injected Liposomal Cannabidiol Formulation Used as a Compassion Therapy for Pain Management in a Dog. Front. Vet. Sci. 9:892306. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2022.892306

A 14-year-old intact mixed breed dog (26 kg) was submitted for a novel cannabidiol (CBD) analgesic treatment. The dog was cachectic and had a testicular neoplasia, hip and elbow osteoarthritis and severe cervical pain. Analgesic treatment included canine osteoarthritic supplement, robencoxib and gabapentin. An additional liposomal CBD injectable formulation at 5 mg/kg was administered subcutaneously between the shoulder blades. The dog was monitored using an activity monitoring collar (PetPace), owner wellbeing questionnaire (Canine Brief Pain Inventory; CBPI), pain interactive visual analog scale (iVAS), blood work and CBD plasma concentrations. A week from the injection and up to 3 weeks afterwards the dog had improved CBPI and iVAS pain scores, and increased collar activity scores. CBD was guantified in plasma for 28 days. Due to disease progression, further difficulty to rise and walk, and relapse to pain after 3 weeks, the owners requested a second liposomal CBD injection, which was performed 4 weeks following the first injection using 3 mg/kg dose. Two days later, the dog was found dead in the yard under direct sun, while environmental temperature was 37°C. Major findings on necropsy revealed evidence of heat stroke and severe cervical disc protrusion with spinal hematoma, none related to liposomal CBD. In conclusion, subcutaneous liposomal CBD produced quantifiable CBD plasma concentrations for 28 days and may be an effective additional treatment as part of multimodal pain management in dogs.

Keywords: analgesia, cannabidiol, CBD, dog, liposomes, pharmacokinetics, prolonged release

INTRODUCTION

Various diseases, such as osteoarthritis, intervertebral disc disease and cancer are common in companion animals and usually result in chronic pain that affects animals' wellbeing (1, 2). Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are commonly used for analgesia of chronic pain in dogs (3–5) but may fail to control pain and can be associated with adverse effects (6, 7).

Cannabinoids are a family of chemicals that act on the endocannabinoid system. Studies of the cannabinoid receptors have shown that endocannabinoids attenuate and suppress the perception of pain (8, 9). Naturally, cannabinoids are produced from cannabis plants, with more than 100 cannabinoids identified (10). The main cannabinoids produced are tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and cannabidiol (CBD), but in contrast to the highly psychoactive THC, CBD has virtually no psychoactive properties (10, 11). In people, there is evidence that CBD may be a useful treatment for various chronic pain conditions (11-13). The effectiveness of CBD via oral or oraltransmucosal administration for the treatment of osteoarthritis in dogs has also been recently reported (14-17). A safety and pharmacokinetic evaluation of repeated oral CBD administration in healthy dogs showed that oral daily administration of up to 12 mg/kg/day for 28 days was well tolerated, with no clinically important adverse effects (18). Oral CBD administration requires frequent dosing (twice a day) (14, 16), and may result in variable plasma levels due to low bioavailability, estimated in people to be only 6% (19). In dogs, bioavailability of new oral preparations is unknown, as no recent study compared oral to intravenous administration. However, mean CBD plasma levels following single administration were reported to be 300 ng/mL (20) and at steady-state in the range of 60-300 ng/mL (17, 21, 22) depending on dose and oral formulation.

Palatability of oral oil-based CBD preparations is also a downside of oral treatment (23). In an effort to increase CBD bioavailability and allow a more convenient use with a better owner and pet compliance, alternative delivery routes of CBD (i.e., injectable) are of interest. Liposomes are closed vesicles made of one or more bilayers of well-characterized phospholipids. They are attractive for pharmaceutical application because they are biocompatible, biodegradable, non-toxic, their bio-fate is known, and they are already proven as successful drug delivery systems (24–26), including US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved liposomal drug-products (26). Encapsulation of CBD into liposomes can facilitate sustained and controlled drug release and may provide long-term significant CBD plasma concentrations that will enable analgesia.

The purpose of this case report is to describe the addition of a novel analgesic treatment (i.e., on top of commonly used analgesics) using liposomal CBD formulation administered subcutaneously as a compassion therapy in a dog suffering from severe chronic pain.

CASE DESCRIPTION

Clinical History

A 14-year-old intact mixed breed dog with a body weight of 26 kg was referred to Koret School of Veterinary Medicine—Teaching Hospital for a novel analgesic treatment. The dog was cachectic (BSc 3/9) with general muscle atrophy. He suffered from bilateral hip and elbow osteoarthritis (hip confirmed radiographically) and severe cervical pain. The owners preferred not to perform diagnostic tests for the cervical disease. On physical examination the dog was lying down in a lateral recumbency, and required the owner's help to get up due to extreme neck pain. He

had proprioception deficits in all 4 limbs, which was worse on the right thoracic limb, and had severe lameness in all limbs. Additionally, the dog had a suspected testicular neoplasia (asymmetric testicles; the enlarged testicle was approximately 6 times larger than the second testicle). The owners reported that the testicular mass doubled its size in the past 6–8 months.

The dog was treated with a canine osteoarthritic supplement twice daily (Super-Flex GLM, Deer Velvet, Dogs 750 mg, SuperFlex, Israel; started 10 months before intervention), and with oral analgesics, including robencoxib 40 mg once daily (Onsior, Elanco, USA; started 6 months before intervention) and gabapentin 200 mg twice daily (Gabapentin, VetMarket, Israel; started 2.5 weeks before intervention).

The owners reported that during the past month the dog deteriorated rapidly, his pain increased, and his function decreased. He stopped eating commercial dry dog food and was eating mostly food prepared from the kitchen of the owners, primarily consisting of meat and chicken products and some canned dog food. The owners were reluctant to euthanize the dog at that time and requested to proceed with the novel CBD treatment.

Liposomal CBD Intervention

Liposomal CBD formulation was obtained from Innocan Pharma (Israel). According to the product certificate of analysis, the Liposomal CBD was prepared under strict aseptic conditions. Prior to use, samples were sent for tests of sterility and endotoxin levels performed by Hy-Labs (Rehovot, Israel), which is a certified and accredited laboratory by the Israeli Ministry of Health and by the FDA. The results of these tests meet the requirements of extra-vascular administered drugs in humans.

The liposomal CBD formulation was composed of hydrogenated soy phosphatidylcholine (HSPC; Lipoid GmbH, Ludwigshafen, Germany). A synthetic CBD (Purisys LLC., Athens, GA, USA; not considered a controlled substance) was loaded into the liposomes. The external medium was 5% dextrose solution containing 0.075% histidine at pH 7.0. Liposomal CBD characteristics: (i) gross appearance "milky" liquid (ii) median particle size 5.6 μ m; (iii) osmolality 317 mOsm/kg; (iv) total CBD concentration 50 mg/g; (v) HSPC concentration 49 mg/g, drug to lipid molar ratio 2.5; (vi) sterility conforms; (vii) pyrogen test <5 EU/mL.

The hair between the shoulder blades of the dog was clipped and the skin was scrubbed with chlorhexidine and then cleaned with alcohol 70%. Liposomal CBD (batch: Lipo-CBD F1, Inn4-117, preparation date 15 June 2021; 50 mg/mL) 2.6 mL (i.e., 5 mg/kg; a total of 130 mg) was aspirated using 18-gauge, 1.5inch needle from the vial using aseptic techniques and injected subcutaneously with a 21-gauge, 1-inch needle at the prepared skin area.

Monitoring and Follow Up

Owner questionnaire using the Canine Brief Pain Inventory (CBPI) (27, 28) was completed at baseline, on the day of liposomal CBD intervention, and then once weekly up to 4 weeks (**Table 1**). Interactive Visual Analog Scale (iVAS) was performed by a board-certified anesthesiologist at the

TABLE 1 | Scoring of Canine Brief Pain Inventory (CBPI; scale total pain 0–40, scale total function 0–60) by owners and interactive Visual Analog Scale (iVAS; scale 0–10) by an anesthesiologist in a 14-year-old dog suffering from bilateral hip and elbow osteoarthritis and severe neck pain, before and after liposomal cannabidiol subcutaneous injection.

	Baseline	Week 1	Week 2	Week 3	Week 4
Description of Pa	ain				
Worst	8	9	8	7	8
Least	0	0	0	0	0
Average	5	4	4	3	5
Right now	8	4	4	0	1
Total Pain	21	17	16	10	14
Description of fu	nction				
General activity	9	9	9	9	9
Enjoyment of life	9	8	8	9	9
Ability to rise	9	8	8	8	8
Ability to walk	9	8	8	8	8
Ability to run	10	10	10	10	10
Ability to climb	10	10	10	10	10
Total function	56	53	53	54	54
Overall	Poor	Poor	Poor	Poor	Poor
iVAS	10	8	7	7	8

same time points (**Table 1**). An activity monitoring collar (PetPace, Burlington, MA, USA; https://petpace.com/smartsensing-collar/) was placed on the dog 7 weeks before intervention via the referring veterinarian. Daily average activity data collected by the collar is presented in **Figure 1**.

Complete blood count (CBC) and biochemistry panel were performed 10 days prior to intervention and then 3 days, 2 weeks, and 4 weeks following liposomal CBD injection (Table 2). The major finding in the CBC was anemia before injection, which was worse after intervention and may have been attributed to the frequent blood collection combined with the apparent decreased appetite immediately after injection. White blood cells were increased on day 3 after injection, and by 2 weeks returned to baseline values. Blood (1-2 mL) was also collected for pharmacokinetic analysis at 2, 4, and 6 h, daily at 1-6 days, 8-, 10-, 14-, 17-, 21-, 25-, and 28-days following injection (Figure 2). Blood was collected into ethylenediamine tetra-acetic acid (EDTA) tubes, kept in ice, and centrifuged to separate the plasma within 20 min. Plasma was immediately frozen at -20° C and then kept at -80°C until analysis. CBD quantification was performed using UHPLC-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) method (Supplementary Material). Pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated for 28 days following injection using a non-compartmental analysis using Phoenix WinNonlin (CertaraTM, NJ, USA, Version 6.3).

The car ride to the Hospital and back on the day of intervention seemed to worsen the dog's pain and function. On the first several days following Hospital visit, the dog required the owner's help to get up, had significant difficulty while walking and had a selective eating and drinking behavior. Therefore, the dog was administered subcutaneous 500 mL of lactated ringer's solution twice a day for 3 days. Thereafter he recovered gradually, and had improved owner and iVAS pain scores, and increased collar activity scores (compared to his activity before the injection) up to 3 weeks following injection (**Table 1**, **Figure 1**). The improvement in the pain score correlated with the pharmacokinetic profile obtained (**Figure 2**). CBD plasma levels increased gradually during the first 24 h after injection to a maximum concentration of 44 ng/mL and remained in the range of 33–38 ng/mL for the next 4 days. The concentrations decreased in a mono exponential decline thereafter with CBD plasma concentration of 0.4 ng/mL at day 28 after injection. The AUC obtained over the 28 days was 6,966 ng·h/mL and half-life was 86 h.

Approximately 3 weeks from injection, the dog showed signs of cervical disease progression, further difficulty to use its right thoracic limb, to rise and walk, and it relapsed to severe pain. Therefore, the owners requested a second liposomal CBD injection, which was performed 4 weeks following the first injection using a lower dose of 3 mg/kg. The injection was performed at the owners' home (in order to avoid the car-ride deterioration) with direct veterinary supervision for 7 h following injection. Blood samples were collected for pharmacokinetic analysis at 2 and 6 h, and 1 day following injection (Figure 2). Two days later, the dog was alone in the yard for several hours, while environmental temperature was high (37°C). When the owners went outside, they found the dog deceased under direct sun. Major findings on necropsy revealed evidence of heat stroke (diffuse cerebral and meningeal edema and congestion, several focal cerebral hemorrhages, diffuse alveolar edema and congestion including thick mucoid material in the bronchi and foam in the trachea, diffuse muscular edema with multifocal muscular hemorrhage and focal subcapsular renal and hepatic hemorrhage). He had a severe cervical disc protrusion with a large blood clot in the intervertebral canal at the level of cervical vertebrae 1-4. Additional findings included severe bilateral femoral head cartilage degeneration, testicular seminoma, benign prostatic hyperplasia, bladder cyst, large hematoma at the back muscles on the left side at the level of thoracic vertebrae 10-13, moderate hepatic lipidosis, an acute left kidney infarct and bilateral adrenal hyperplastic noduli. The dog was eating and drinking as usual during the 2 days after the second injection, including several hours before his death, and the cause of death was suspected to be heat stroke. It was assumed that the dog fell due to his condition or due to a brain stroke (observed focal cerebral hemorrhages), was not able to get up, and because he was lying in the sun, he developed heat stroke. This assumption was also supported by data downloaded later from the monitoring collar, which showed increased temperature (from "Normal" to "High"), increased pulse rate (from 70 to over 200 beats per minute) and decreased heart rate variability (HRV; from 11.5 to 8.0) during two h before the suspected time of death. Unfortunately, during the event, because the dog was outside and too far away from the router, data was not transferred in real-time and collar alerts were not sent to the owner and the veterinarian.

Although no local changes were grossly observed in response to injection, skin and subcutaneous tissues from the two liposomal CBD administration sites were also sampled, fixed



in formaldehyde 4% and assessed histologically. The first area injected (30 days following injection) presented normal tissue with no microscopic changes identified. The second area injected (2 days following injection) presented a cavity that contained wisps of fibrin-like material and a small number of neutrophils. Multifocal congestion and edema were observed, and near the cavity edge a moderate neutrophil infiltration of the adipose tissue was identified. Histopathologic findings were compatible with fibrinopurulent cellulitis.

DISCUSSION

In this report, subcutaneous liposomal CBD administration provided long-term CBD plasma concentration, although lower than what has been reported during steady-state following repeated daily oral administration (22, 23), and it seemed to improve dog's pain and owner perceived function up to 3 weeks from injection. CBD was reported to provide analgesia in people (9, 12) and recently in dogs with osteoarthritis (14-17). The mechanism of action suggested for CBD analgesic effect is mediated via cannabinoid receptor 2 as an inverse agonist and as an inhibitor of the reuptake of the endocannabinoid anandamide (13, 29). Additionally, CBD was reported to work on various other receptors, including the serotonin receptor 5-HT_{1A} and the transient receptor potential cation channel, subfamily vanilloid 1 receptor (TRPV1). TRPV1 channels are predominantly expressed in sensory neurons and have an important role in nociception (13, 30). Regarding joint pain, an anti-nociceptive effect of locally administered CBD has been reported, attributed to decreased joint nociceptors firing and decreased local inflammation, which resulted in decreased pain behavior in an end-stage osteoarthritis model in rats (11, 31).

Osteoarthritis impact on chronic pain and activity levels in dogs has been documented and it has been suggested that improvement in mobility could be one of the therapeutic goals (32). In this report the dog had an activity monitor, which provided a more objective measurement of activity (33). PetPace is a non-invasive collar designed for dogs and cats that allows real-time, continuous, remote monitoring of activity, temperature, pulse, respiration, variations in heart rate (HRV), position (lying, sitting, standing), and sleep quality (movement during sleep). The use of this collar was recently described in dogs and showed an excellent correlation with real-time variables (33-35). The results of increased activity following the liposomal CBD treatment in the dog presented here seemed to be correlated with owner subjective wellbeing evaluation and iVAS, although, this data should be interpreted with caution as other factors such as environmental conditions and owner activity can play a significant role in daily activity patterns.

Changes in blood work were observed on day 3 following injection. There was a decrease in hematocrit, which was already low at baseline and was attributed to the frequent blood sampling on the first days. White blood cells (WBCs) were increased, but were not above the reference range, and were back to baseline 10 days later. The increase in WBCs can be explained by the immune system response to large size particles (36, 37) and can be correlated to the local fibrinopurulent cellulitis observed on histopathology 2 days following the second injection. This observation of local response followed by recovery was also reported in other studies investigating other liposomal formulations (38) or administration of other particle vehicles (36). Oral CBD administration for several weeks in dogs resulted in increased serum alkaline phosphatase (ALP), likely due to induction of CYP isoenzymes in the liver (20, 23). ALP TABLE 2 | Complete blood count and biochemistry panel performed in a 14-year-old dog suffering from bilateral hip and elbow osteoarthritis and severe neck pain, before and after liposomal cannabidiol subcutaneous injection.

Hematology Hematology White blood cells (10 ^A /L) 5.0-22.0 8.98 14.32 8.18 5.88 Lymphocytes (10 ^A /L) 2.0-12.8 7.52 12.29 6.41 5.05 Lymphocytes (10 ^A /L) 0.6-12.8 0.89 1.16 0.83 0.33 Escenipables (10 ^A /L) 0.0-2.6 0.64 0.03 0.07 0.01 Besophile (10 ^A /L) 0.0-2.6 0.00 0.01 0.03 0.00 Numrophile (10 ^A /L) 0.0-2.6 0.00 0.01 0.03 0.00 Numrophile (10 ^A /L) 0.0-2.6 0.00 0.01 0.03 0.00 Numrophile (10 ^A /L) 0.0-2.5 0.00 0.04 0.38 0.04 Beophile (16 ^A) 0.0-2.5 0.05 0.04 0.38 0.04 Hematocht (16) 0.0-2.5 0.05 0.04 0.38 0.04 Hematocht (16) 0.0-2.5 0.05 0.04 0.38 0.04 Hematocht (16) 0.0-2.5 0.05	Parameter	Reference range	Baseline-10 days	3 days	2 weeks	4 weeks
White board cells (10 ^A L)5.0–22.08.9814.428.185.88Neutrophis (10 ^A L)2.0–12.87.521.2.66.415.05Compolytes (10 ^A L)0.0–2.80.520.040.030.01Baschlis (10 ^A L)0.0–2.00.000.010.030.00Neutrophis (10 ^A L)0.0–2.00.000.010.030.00Neutrophis (10 ^A L)0.0–0.00.010.030.00Neutrophis (10 ^A L)0.0–10.00.83.76H85.7485.89Lymphorytes (10 ^A L)0.0–10.05.835.6510.145.55Essinphis (10 ^A L)0.0–10.00.450.000.040.04Monorytes (10 ^A L)0.0–10.00.450.040.040.04Baschlis (10 ^A L)0.0–10.00.450.040.040.04Hematochi (10 ^A L)3.58-40.031.6127.6122.8134.21Red board cells (10 ^A L)3.58-40.031.6127.6122.8134.21Baschlis (10 ^A L)1.1–19.510.118.119.6110.81Mean corpuscular hemoglobin (ngl)10.0–46.022.029.3128.836.81Mean corpuscular hemoglobin (ngl)10.0–46.032.029.3136.831.8Retolactors (10 ^A L)0.0–1.21.37H4.01H1.100.44Patelet (10 ^A L)0.0–1.21.37H4.01H1.100.44Patelet (10 ^A L)0.0–1.21.37H4.01H1.1111.6 <td>Hematology</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Hematology					
Neutrophils (10 ^A L)2.0–12.87.5212.86.416.083Lymphocytes (10 ^A L)0.6–12.80.690.640.630.49 LNoncroytes (10 ^A L)0.0–2.00.640.630.03Basophils (10 ^A L)0.0–2.00.640.630.00Basophils (10 ^A L)0.0–2.00.640.630.00Nontrophils (10 ^A L)0.0–2.00.640.610.030.00Basophils (10 ^A L)0.0–0.083.76H85.79H78.4185.96HLymphocytes (%)0.0–10.00.450.200.000.14Basophils (%)0.0–10.00.450.200.000.14Basophils (%)0.0–2.50.031.6.L2.7.8L2.8.8LRel blood cals (10 ^a /L)1.6.9.20.341.8.13.7.14.85Hematorit (%)38.8-60.07.2.779.07.3.17.8.8Mean corpuscular volume (L)60.0-80.072.779.07.3.17.8.3Mean corpuscular hemoglobin (ngin)10.0-45.02.0.32.0.43.8.18.5.81.0.8Mean corpuscular hemoglobin (ngin)10.0-45.01.2.21.6.41.3.71.3.3Relatioacytes (10 ^A /L)0.0-60.06.5.51.0.414.0.49.1.5Relatioacytes (10 ^A /L)0.0-60.06.5.51.0.414.0.49.6.5Relatioacytes (10 ^A /L)0.0-60.06.5.51.0.414.0.49.6.5Relatioacytes (10 ^A /L)0.0-60.06.5.61.0.4 <td>White blood cells (10⁹/L)</td> <td>5.0-22.0</td> <td>8.98</td> <td>14.32</td> <td>8.18</td> <td>5.88</td>	White blood cells (10 ⁹ /L)	5.0-22.0	8.98	14.32	8.18	5.88
Lymphotyses (10 ² /L)0.6-12.80.891.160.030.049 LMonocytes (10 ² /L)0.0-2.80.520.640.030.03Basophis (10 ⁴ /L)0.0-0.50.000.010.030.00Nautrophils (10 ⁴ /L)0.0-0.50.000.010.030.05Inprhotytes (%)12.0-30.09.91 L8.11 L10.2.08.376H85.79H78.4185.96 HMonocytes (%)0.0-14.05.835.8510.145.555.555.555.550.040.050.040.050.040.050.040.050.040.050.040.050.040.050.040.050.040.050.040.050.040.050.040.050.040.050.040.050.040.050.040.050.060.060.060.	Neutrophils (10 ⁹ /L)	2.0-12.8	7.52	12.29	6.41	5.05
Menocycles (10 ⁹ /L) 0.0-2.8 0.52 0.84 0.03 0.03 Eosinplis (10 ⁷ /L) 0.0-2.0 0.04 0.03 0.01 Beschlis (10 ⁷ /L) 0.0-5. 0.00 0.01 0.03 0.01 Nutrophis (%) 12.0-30.0 9.91 85.79H 78.41 85.96 H Lymphocytes (%) 0.0-10.0 0.45 0.20 0.30 0.04 Basophis (%) 0.0-12.0 0.45 0.20 0.30 0.04 Basophis (%) 0.0-2.5 0.05 0.04 0.36 0.42 Hematoott (%) 55.860.0 31.61 27.61 28.61 34.21 Red tood olls (10 ¹⁷ /L) 4.35-2.20 4.341 3.501 36.71 4.65 Hemogobin (g/G) 110-19.5 11.1 86.1 36.21 36.21 36.21 36.21 36.21 36.21 36.21 36.21 36.21 36.21 36.21 36.21 36.21 36.21 36.21 36.21 36.21 36.21 36.21	Lymphocytes (10 ⁹ /L)	0.6-12.8	0.89	1.16	0.83	0.49 L
Essephile (10 ⁹ /L) 0.0-2.0 0.04 0.03 0.07 Bascphile (10 ⁹ /L) 0.0-0.5 0.00 0.01 0.03 0.00 Bascphile (10 ⁹ /L) 50.8-80.0 87.01 87.01 85.861 1.85.861 Lymphorytes (%) 0.0-14.0 58.83 5.85 10.14 5.55 Essenphile (%) 0.0-2.5 0.05 0.04 0.36 0.04 Bascphile (%) 0.0-2.5 0.05 0.04 0.36 0.04 Hematocri (%) 0.0-2.5 0.05 0.04 0.36 0.04 Bascphile (%) 0.0-2.5 0.05 0.04 0.36 0.04 Hematocri (%) 0.0-2.5 0.05 0.04 0.36 0.04 Mean corpuscular volume (L) 43.5-2.0 43.41 3.51 3.73 2.82 3.83 3.83 3.83 Mean corpuscular volume (L) 10.0-45.0 32.0 2.93.1 2.82.1 3.83 3.83 3.83 Red cididiribuinon width (%) 0.0-60.0	Monocytes (10 ⁹ /L)	0.0-2.8	0.52	0.84	0.83	0.33
Basephils (10 ⁹ /L) 0.0-0.5 0.00 0.01 0.03 0.000 Neutrophile (%) 50.0-80.0 83.76H 85.79H 78.41 85.06H Montocytes (%) 0.0-14.0 5.83 5.85 10.14 5.55 Ecorophile (%) 0.0-14.0 5.83 5.85 10.14 5.55 Ecorophile (%) 0.0-2.5 0.06 0.44 0.04 0.04 Besophile (%) 0.0-2.5 0.05 0.04 0.04 0.04 Hematocrit (%) 35.8-60.0 31.6L 27.6L 26.8L 34.2L Red tool calls (10 ²² /L) 4.35-9.20 4.34L 3.6L 36.7L 4.6S Mean corpuscular hemoglobin (g) 10.0-42.5 23.3 23.1L 86.1B 10.8L Mean corpuscular hemoglobin (g/dL) 10.5-18.0 13.2L 16.4 13.7 13.33 Reticulocytes (10 ⁹ /L) 200-500 351 518H 4.2T 467 Patelets (10 ⁹ /L) 200-500 351 518H 4.2T	Eosinophils (10 ⁹ /L)	0.0-2.0	0.04	0.03	0.07	0.01
Neutophis (%) 500-80.0 83.76H 85.79H 78.41 85.86H Lymphorytes (%) 12.0-30.0 9.91 L 6.11 L 10.20 L 6.31 L Moncoytes (%) 0.0-14.0 6.83 5.85 10.4 6.55 Ecomphis (%) 0.0-10.0 0.45 0.20 0.30 0.14 Baschis (%) 0.0-2.5 0.06 0.04 0.36 0.04 Hematocti (%) 35.8-60.0 31.6 L 27.6 L 26.8 L 34.2 L Red biod cells (10 ¹² /L) 435-8-20 4.34 L 3.6 L 10.8 L Mean corpuscular volume (L) 60.0-800 72.7 79.0 73.1 73.6 Mean corpuscular hemoglobin (gg) 10.5-18.0 32.0 29.3 L 35.8 31.6 L Reticulocytes (%) 0.0-1.2 13.7 H 40.1 H 1.0 30.4 4 Reticulocytes (%) 0.0-1.2 13.7 H 40.1 H 1.0 53.1 51.8 H Reticulocytes (%) 0.0-1.2 13.7 H 40.1 H 1.0 54.5 2.5 1.5	Basophils (10 ⁹ /L)	0.0–0.5	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.00
Lymphooytes (%)12.0-30.09.91 L8.11 L10.20 L8.31 LMonoxytes (%)0.0-14.05.835.8510.145.55Exemphile (%)0.0-2.50.060.040.360.04Basophils (%)0.0-2.50.050.040.360.04Bernotoxit (%)35.8-60.031.6L27.6L26.8L34.2LHematoxit (%)11.0-19.510.1L8.1L9.6L10.8LMean corpuscular homoglobin (g/dL)60-80.072.779.073.173.8Mean corpuscular homoglobin concentration (g/dL)30.0-36.032.029.3L35.831.6LRed cold distribution width (%)10.5-18.013.216.413.713.9Redculocytes (%)0.0-60.059.5140.4H40.439.1Redculocytes (%)0.0-1213.7H40.1H11.111.8Platelacti (%)0.0-1213.7H40.1H40.439.1Redculocytes (%)0.0-1213.7H40.1H11.111.8Platelacti (%)0.0-1213.7H40.1H11.111.8Platelacti (%)0.0-1213.126.525.126.525.1Packed Cell Volume (%)15.7H42.925.126.525.1Platelacti (%)20-12.0035151.8H42.747.0Platelacti (%)20-50.73125.531.432.031.8Platelacti (%)20-51.043.836.135.035.1 <td< td=""><td>Neutrophils (%)</td><td>50.0-80.0</td><td>83.76 H</td><td>85.79 H</td><td>78.41</td><td>85.96 H</td></td<>	Neutrophils (%)	50.0-80.0	83.76 H	85.79 H	78.41	85.96 H
Monocytes (%) 0.0-14.0 5.83 5.85 10.14 5.55 Econophis (%) 0.0-10.0 0.45 0.20 0.90 0.14 Besophis (%) 0.0-2.5 0.05 0.04 0.86 0.04 Hematorit (%) 35.8-60.0 31.6L 27.6L 28.8L 34.2L Red blood cells (10 ¹² /L) 4.35-9.20 4.34L 3.50 L 3.67 L 4.68 Hematorit (%) 0.0-80.0 7.27 7.01 7.31 7.83 Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (g/dL) 30.0-80.0 32.0 29.3L 35.8 31.81 Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (g/dL) 30.0-80.0 32.0 29.3L 35.8 31.81 Red cel distribution with (%) 0.0-1.2 1.37H 4.01H 1.0 0.84 Platelet (10 ¹² /L) 0.0-60.0 59.5 140.4H 40.4 39.1 Platelet (10 ¹² /L) 0.0-12.0 1.37H 4.01H 1.10 0.84 Platelet (10 ¹² /L) 0.0-1.2 1.37H 2.6	Lymphocytes (%)	12.0-30.0	9.91 L	8.11L	10.20 L	8.31 L
Ecsinghils (%) 0.0-10.0 0.45 0.20 0.90 0.14 Basophils (%) 0.0-2.5 0.05 0.04 0.86 0.04 Hematoort (%) 35.8-60.0 31.6L 27.6L 26.8L 0.45L Hemoglobin (g/dL) 11.0-19.5 10.1L 8.1L 0.6L 0.87L	Monocytes (%)	0.0-14.0	5.83	5.85	10.14	5.55
Basephils (%)0.0-2.50.050.040.360.04Hematorit (%)35.8-0.031.6L27.6L26.8L42.LBed blood cells (10 ¹² /L)4.35-9.204.34 L3.60 L3.67 L4.65Hemaglobin (g/dL)10.19.8.510.18.1 L9.6L0.86 LMean corpuscular volume (IL)60.0-80.072.779.07.3 L7.86Mean corpuscular hemoglobin (g/dL)10.0-24.523.323.125.83.16Red cel distribution width (%)10.5-18.013.216.413.713.31Reticulocytes (10 ¹⁴ /L)0.0-60.069.614.0.4H40.49.14Plateled (10 ¹⁴ /L)0.0-1.21.37H4.01H1.100.842Plateled (10 ¹⁴ /L)0.0-1.21.37H4.01H1.100.842Plateled (10 ¹⁴ /L)6.0-17.012.411.811.111.8Plateled (10 ¹⁴ /L)6.0-17.012.411.811.111.1Plateled (10 ¹⁴ /L)6.0-17.012.411.811.111.1Plateled (10 ¹⁴ /L)6.0-17.012.411.811.111.1Plateled (10 ¹⁴ /L)0.0-15047665450.1Plateled (10 ¹⁴ /L)0.0-15047665450.1Plateled (10 ¹⁴ /L)0.1-0.60.30.40.30.3Rotarit (%)2.5-4.43.33.23.12.2Plateled (10 ¹⁴ /L)0.0-1.0065971.4493460<	Eosinophils (%)	0.0-10.0	0.45	0.20	0.90	0.14
Heratocrit (%) 35.8-60.0 31.6L 27.6L 26.8L 34.2L Red blood cells (10 ⁷⁷ /L) 4.35-9.20 4.34L 3.60L 3.67L 4.66 Hemoglobin (g/dL) 11.0-19.5 10.1L 8.1L 9.6L 10.8L Mean corpuscular lemoglobin (og) 19.0-24.5 23.3 23.1 26.2H 23.2 Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (g/dL) 30.0-36.0 32.0 29.3L 35.8 31.6 Red cell distribution width (%) 10.5-18.0 13.0 16.4 13.7 13.3 Reticulocytes (10 ⁶ /L) 0.0-60.0 35.1 164.4 40.4 49.4 Platelet (10 ⁷ /L) 0.0-60.0 35.1 16.4 10.4 40.4 Platelet (10 ⁶ /L) 0.0-1.2 1.37H 4.01H 1.10 0.84 Platelet (10 ⁶ /L) 0.0-1.2 1.37H 4.01H 1.10 0.52 Platelet (10 ⁶ /L) 6.0-17.0 12.4 11.8 11.1 11.6 Platelet (10 ⁶ /L) 2.5 3.1 2	Basophils (%)	0.0-2.5	0.05	0.04	0.36	0.04
Red blood cells (10 ¹² /L) 4.35-9.20 4.34 L 3.50 L 3.67 L 4.68 L Hemoglobin (g/dL) 11.0-19.5 10.1 L 8.1 L 9.8 L 10.8 L Mean corpuscular hemoglobin (gn) 19.0-24.5 23.3 23.1 26.2 H 23.3 Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (g/dL) 30.0-36.0 32.0 29.3 L 35.8 31.6 Red cell distribution width (%) 10.5-18.0 13.2 16.4 13.7 13.3 Reticulocytes (10 ⁹ /L) 0.0-60.0 59.5 140.41 40.40 39.1 Reticulocytes (10 ⁹ /L) 0.0-1.2 13.7 H 40.11 1.1.1 0.84 Platelets (10 ⁹ /L) 200-500 351 518 H 427 45.4 Platelets (10 ⁹ /L) 6.0-1.7 12.4 11.8 11.1 11.6 Platelets (10 ⁹ /L) 6.0 5.4 7.0 6.4 6.4 Platelets (10 ⁹ /L) 6.1 2.5 30 31 25 30 31 25 30 31	Hematocrit (%)	35.8-60.0	31.6 L	27.6L	26.8 L	34.2 L
Hemoglobin (g/dL) 11.0-19.5 10.1 L 8.1 L 9.6 L 10.8 L Mean corpuscular volume (fL) 60.0-80.0 72.7 79.0 73.1 73.6 Mean corpuscular hemoglobin (g/dL) 30.0-80.0 32.0 23.31 26.2 H 23.2 Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (g/dL) 30.0-80.0 32.0 29.31 33.6 13.3 Reticulocytes (10 ⁰ /L) 0.0-60.0 59.5 140.4 H 40.4 39.1 Reticulocytes (10 ⁰ /L) 0.0-60.0 59.5 140.4 H 40.4 39.1 Reticulocytes (10 ⁰ /L) 0.0-60.0 35.1 151.H 47.0 0.642 Platelets (10 ⁰ /L) 20-500 351 51.8 H 47.0 0.642 Platelets (10 ⁰ /L) 6.0-17.0 12.4 11.8 11.1 11.6 Platelets (10 ¹ /L) 6.0-17.0 12.4 11.8 11.1 11.6 Platelets (10 ¹ /L) 6.0-17.0 12.4 11.8 11.1 11.6 Platelets (10 ¹ /L) 6.0-17.0 12.4<	Red blood cells (10 ¹² /L)	4.35-9.20	4.34 L	3.50 L	3.67 L	4.65
Mean corpuscular volume (IL) 60.0-80.0 72.7 79.0 73.1 73.6 Mean corpuscular hemoglobin (pg) 19.0-24.5 23.3 23.1 26.2 H 23.2 Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (g/dL) 30.0-36.0 32.0 29.3 L 35.8 31.6 Red cell distribution width (%) 0.0-60.0 59.5 140.4 H 40.4 39.1 Reticulocytes (10 ⁹ /L) 0.0-60.0 351 518 H 42.7 467 Platelets (10 ^P /L) 200-60.0 351 518 H 42.7 467 Platelets (10 ^P /L) 6.0-17.0 12.4 11.8 11.1 11.6 Platelets (10 ^P /L) 6.0-17.0 12.4 11.8 11.4 11.6 Platelet volume (%) 7.0 6.4 0.5 25.1 26.5 25.1 Packed Cell Volume (%) 2.5-4.4 3.3 3.2 3.1 3.2 Aluxine phosphatase (U/L) 2.5-4.4 3.3 3.2 3.1 3.2 Aluxine phosphatase (U/L) 2.5-4.4	Hemoglobin (g/dL)	11.0-19.5	10.1 L	8.1 L	9.6 L	10.8 L
Mean corpuscular hemoglobin (pg) 19.0-24.5 23.3 23.1 26.2H 23.2 Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (g/dL) 300-36.0 32.0 29.3L 35.8 31.6 Ret call distribution width (%) 10.5-18.0 32.0 29.3L 35.8 31.6 Reticulocytes (%) .00-600 59.5 140.4H 40.4 39.1 Reticulocytes (%) .00-1.2 1.37H 4.01H 1.10 0.84 Platelets (10 ⁶ /L) 200-500 351 518H 427 467 Platelets (10 ⁶ /L) 6.0-17.0 12.4 11.8 11.1 11.6 Platelet Sithbution width (%) 24.9 24.9 25 30 33 Platelet Sithbution width (%)	Mean corpuscular volume (fL)	60.0-80.0	72.7	79.0	73.1	73.6
Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (g/dL) 30.0–36.0 32.0 29.3 L 35.8 31.6 Red cell distribution width (%) 10.5–18.0 13.2 16.4 13.7 13.3 Reticulocytes (10 ⁹ /L) 0.0–60.0 59.5 140.4 H 40.4 39.1 Reticulocytes (%) 0.0–1.2 1.37 H 4.01 H 1.0 0.84 Platelets (10 ⁹ /L) 200-500 515 516 H 427 47 Platelets oldsribution width (%) 0.6–17.0 12.4 11.8 11.1 11.6 Platelets distribution width (%) 2.5 26.1 26.5 25.1 Packed Cell Volume (%) 3.1 25 30 33 Total solids 6.4 7.0 6.4 50 Alkaine phosphatase (U/L) 2.5–4.4 3.3 3.2 3.1 3.2 Alkaine phosphatase (U/L) 20–150 47 66 54 50 Anylase (U/L) 0.1–0.6 0.3 0.4 0.3 0.3 Ictal bilinbin (g/	Mean corpuscular hemoglobin (pg)	19.0-24.5	23.3	23.1	26.2 H	23.2
Red cell distribution width (%) 10.5–18.0 13.2 16.4 13.7 13.3 Reticulocytes (10 ⁹ /L) 0.0–60.0 59.5 140.4H 40.4 39.1 Reticulocytes (%) 0.0–1.2 1.37H 4.01H 1.10 0.84 Platelets (10 ⁹ /L) 20–500 351 518H 427 467 Platelets (10 ⁹ /L) 6.0–17.0 12.4 11.8 11.1 11.6 Mean platelet volume (fL) 6.0–17.0 12.4 11.8 11.1 11.6 Platelets distribution width (%) 24.9 25.1 26.5 25.1 Packed Cell Volume (%) 31 25 30 33 Total solids 6.4 7.0 6.4 6.4 Bloenmistry 31 25 30 33 32 Alkaline phosphatase (U/L) 20–150 47 66 54 50 Alanine transaminase (U/L) 0.1–0.6 0.3 0.4 0.3 0.3 Total bilirubin (mg/dL) 0.1–0.6 0.	Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (g/dL)	30.0–36.0	32.0	29.3 L	35.8	31.6
Reticulocytes (10 ³ /L) 0.0–60.0 59.5 140.4H 40.4 39.1 Reticulocytes (%) 0.0–1.2 1.37H 4.01H 1.10 0.84 Platelets (10 ⁹ /L) 200–500 351 518H 427 467 Platelets (10 ⁹ /L) 0.435 0.611 0.474 0.542 Mean platelet volume (IL) 6.0–17.0 12.4 11.8 11.1 11.6 Platelet Sitibition width (%) 24.9 25.1 26.5 26.5 26.1 Platelet Sitibition width (%) 31 25 30 33 33 33 34 64.4	Red cell distribution width (%)	10.5–18.0	13.2	16.4	13.7	13.3
Reliculory Platelets (10 ⁹ /L) $0.0-1.2$ 1.37 H 4.01 H 1.10 0.84 Platelets (10 ⁹ /L) $200-500$ 351 518 H 427 467 Platelet volume (IL) $6.0-17.0$ 12.4 11.8 11.1 11.6 Platelets distribution width (%) 24.9 25.1 26.5 25.1 Packed Cell Volume (%) 31 25 30 33 Total solids 6.4 7.0 6.4 6.4 Biochemisty $2.5-4.4$ 3.3 3.2 3.1 3.2 Alkaline phosphatase (U/L) $2.5-4.4$ 3.3 3.2 3.1 3.2 Alkaline phosphatase (U/L) $20-150$ 47 66 54 50 Alarine transaminase (U/L) $0.1-0.6$ 0.3 0.4 0.3 0.4 Algo (mg/dL) $0.1-0.6$ 0.3 0.4 0.3 0.1 Total bilirubin (mg/dL) $0.1-0.6$ 0.3 0.4 0.3 0.1 Phosphorus (mg/dL) $2.9-6.6$ 3.0 4.3 5.5 5.7 Creatinine (mg/dL) $0.3-1.4$ 0.7 0.9 0.6 0.8 Glucose (mg/dL) $0.3-1.4$ 0.7 0.9 0.6 0.6 Glucose (mg/dL) $0.3-1.4$ 0.7 0.9 0.6 0.6 Creatinine (mg/dL) $0.3-1.4$ 0.7 0.9 0.6 0.6 Glucose (mg/dL) $0.3-1.4$ 0.7 0.9 0.6 0.6 Glucose (mg/dL) $0.3-1.4$ 0.7 <td< td=""><td>Reticulocytes (10⁹/L)</td><td>0.0–60.0</td><td>59.5</td><td>140.4 H</td><td>40.4</td><td>39.1</td></td<>	Reticulocytes (10 ⁹ /L)	0.0–60.0	59.5	140.4 H	40.4	39.1
Platelet, $(10^9/L)$ 200-500351518 H427467Platelet, $(10^9/L)$ 0.4350.6110.4740.542Mean platelet volume (L) $6.0-17.0$ 12.411.811.111.6Platelet sithibution width (%)24.925.126.525.1Packed Cell Volume (%)31253033Total solids6.47.06.43.33.2Bochemistry20-15047665450Alkaline phosphatase (U/L)20-15047665450Annine transaminase (U/L)200-1,200559714493469Total solinu (mg/dL)0.1-0.60.30.40.30.3Bood urea nitrogen (mg/dL)7-2511171211Calcuium (mg/dL)2.9-6.63.04.35.55.7Creatinine (mg/dL)0.3-1.40.70.90.60.8Glucose (mg/dL)60-1101279397107Sodium (nmol/dL)138-160145147146146Potaspium (mmol/dL)3.7-5.83.44.14.74.2Total poten (mg/dL)5.4-8.26.86.86.33.33.3	Reticulocytes (%)	0.0-1.2	1.37 H	4.01 H	1.10	0.84
Platelet of (%) 0.435 0.611 0.474 0.542 Mean platelet volume (IL) 6.0–17.0 12.4 11.8 11.1 11.6 Platelets distribution width (%) 24.9 25.1 26.5 25.1 Packed Cell Volume (%) 31 25 30 33 Total solids 6.4 7.0 6.4 6.4 Biochemistry 6.4 7.0 6.4 6.4 Alkaline phosphatase (U/L) 2.5–4.4 3.3 3.2 3.1 3.2 Alkaline phosphatase (U/L) 20–150 47 66 54 50 Annie transaminase (U/L) 200–1200 559 714 493 469 Total bilfrubin (mg/dL) 0.1–0.6 0.3 0.4 0.3 0.3 Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL) 7–25 11 17 12 11 Calcium (mg/dL) 8.6–11.8 9.3 9.3 9.1 9.1 Phosphorus (mg/dL) 0.3–1.4 0.7 0.9 0.6 0.8	Platelets (10 ⁹ /L)	200-500	351	518 H	427	467
Mean platelet volume (fL) $6.0-17.0$ 12.4 11.8 11.1 11.6 Platelets distribution width (%) 24.9 25.1 26.5 25.1 Packed Cell Volume (%) 31 25 30 33 Total solids 6.4 7.0 6.4 6.4 Biohemistry 6.4 7.0 6.4 6.4 Alkaline phosphatase (U/L) $2.5-4.4$ 3.3 3.2 3.1 3.2 Alkaline phosphatase (U/L) $20-150$ 47 66 54 50 Alanine transaminase (U/L) $10-118$ 24 22 29 43 Arylase (U/L) $200-1,200$ 559 714 493 469 Total bilirubin (mg/dL) $0.1-0.6$ 0.3 0.4 0.3 0.3 Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL) $7-25$ 11 17 12 11 Calcium (mg/dL) $2.9-6.6$ 3.0 4.3 5.5 5.7 Creatine (mg/dL) $0.3-1.4$ 0.7 0.9 0.6 0.8 Glucose (mg/dL) $0.3-1.4$ 0.7 0.9 0.6 0.8 Glucose (mg/dL) $138-160$ 145 147 146 146 Potassium (mmol/dL) $3.7-5.8$ 3.4 4.1 4.7 4.2 Calcium (mol/dL) $2.3-5.2$ 2.8 3.6 3.3 3.3	Plateletcrit (%)		0.435	0.611	0.474	0.542
Platelets distribution width (%) 24.9 25.1 26.5 25.1 Packed Cell Volume (%) 31 25 30 33 Total solids 6.4 7.0 6.4 6.4 Biochemistry 6.4 3.3 3.2 3.1 3.2 Alkaline phosphatase (U/L) 2.5-4.4 3.3 3.2 3.1 3.2 Alkaline phosphatase (U/L) 20-150 47 66 54 50 Alanine transaminase (U/L) 10-118 24 22 29 43 Anylase (U/L) 00-1,200 559 714 493 469 Total bilirubin (mg/dL) 0.1-0.6 0.3 0.4 0.3 0.3 Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL) 7-25 11 17 12 11 Cacium (mg/dL) 8.6-11.8 9.3 9.3 9.1 9.1 Phosphorus (mg/dL) 0.3-1.4 0.7 0.9 0.6 0.8 Glucose (mg/dL) 0.3-1.4 0.7 9.3 97	Mean platelet volume (fL)	6.0–17.0	12.4	11.8	11.1	11.6
Packed Cell Volume (%) 31 25 30 33 Total solids 6.4 7.0 6.4 6.4 Biochemistry	Platelets distribution width (%)		24.9	25.1	26.5	25.1
Total solids6.47.06.46.4BiochemistryAlbumin (g/dL)2.5-4.43.33.23.13.2Alkaline phosphatase (J/L)20-15047665450Alanine transaminase (J/L)10-11824222943Amylase (J/L)200-1,200559714493469Total bilirubin (mg/dL)0.1-0.60.30.40.30.3Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL)7-2511171211Calcium (mg/dL)8.6-11.89.39.39.19.1Phosphorus (mg/dL)2.9-6.63.04.35.55.7Creatinine (mg/dL)0.3-1.40.70.90.60.8Glucose (mg/dL)60-1101279397107Sodium (mmol/dL)138-160145147146146Potassium (nmol/dL)3.7-5.83.44.14.74.2Globulins (n/dL)2.3-5.22.83.63.33.3	Packed Cell Volume (%)		31	25	30	33
BiochemistryAlbumin (g/dL)2.5-4.43.33.23.13.2Alkaline phosphatase (U/L)20–15047665450Alanine transaminase (U/L)10–11824222943Amylase (U/L)200–1,200559714493469Total bilirubin (mg/dL)0.1–0.60.30.40.30.3Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL)7-2511171211Calcium (mg/dL)8.6–11.89.39.39.19.1Phosphorus (mg/dL)2.9–6.63.04.35.55.7Creatinine (mg/dL)0.3–1.40.70.90.60.8Glucose (mg/dL)60–1101279397107Sodium (mmol/dL)138–160145147146146Potassium (mmol/dL)3.7–5.83.44.14.74.2Total protein (g/dL)5.4–8.26.26.86.46.6Globulins (a/dL)2.3–5.22.83.63.33.3	Total solids		6.4	7.0	6.4	6.4
Albumin (g/dL)2.5-4.43.33.23.13.2Alkaline phosphatase (U/L)20-15047665450Alanine transaminase (U/L)10-11824222943Amylase (U/L)200-1,200559714493469Total bilirubin (mg/dL)0.1-0.60.30.40.30.3Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL)7-2511171211Calcium (mg/dL)8.6-11.89.39.39.19.1Phosphorus (mg/dL)2.9-6.63.04.35.55.7Creatinine (mg/dL)0.3-1.40.70.90.60.8Glucose (mg/dL)60-1101279397107Sodium (mmol/dL)138-160145147146146Potassium (nmol/dL)3.7-5.83.44.14.74.2Total protein (g/dL)5.4-8.26.26.86.46.6Globulins (g/dL)2.3-5.22.83.63.33.3	Biochemistry					
Alkaline phosphatase (U/L)20–15047665450Alanine transaminase (U/L)10–11824222943Amylase (U/L)200–1,200559714493469Total bilirubin (mg/dL)0.1–0.60.30.40.30.3Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL)7–2511171211Calcium (mg/dL)8.6–11.89.39.39.19.1Phosphorus (mg/dL)2.9–6.63.04.35.55.7Creatinine (mg/dL)0.3–1.40.70.90.60.8Glucose (mg/dL)60–1101279397107Sodium (mmol/dL)138–160145147146146Potassium (mmol/dL)3.7–5.83.44.14.74.2Total protein (g/dL)5.4–8.26.26.86.46.6Globulins (g/dL)2.3–5.22.83.63.33.3	Albumin (g/dL)	2.5-4.4	3.3	3.2	3.1	3.2
Alanine transminase (U/L)10–11824222943Amylase (U/L)200–1,200559714493469Total bilirubin (mg/dL)0.1–0.60.30.40.30.3Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL)7–2511171211Calcium (mg/dL)8.6–11.89.39.39.19.1Phosphorus (mg/dL)2.9–6.63.04.35.55.7Creatinine (mg/dL)0.3–1.40.70.90.60.8Glucose (mg/dL)60–1101279397107Sodium (mmol/dL)138–160145147146146Potassium (mmol/dL)3.7–5.83.44.14.74.2Total protein (g/dL)5.4–8.26.26.86.46.6Globulins (a/dL)2.3–5.22.83.63.33.3	Alkaline phosphatase (U/L)	20-150	47	66	54	50
Amylase (U/L)200–1,200559714493469Total bilirubin (mg/dL)0.1–0.60.30.40.30.3Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL)7–2511171211Calcium (mg/dL)8.6–11.89.39.39.19.1Phosphorus (mg/dL)2.9–6.63.04.35.55.7Creatinine (mg/dL)0.3–1.40.70.90.60.8Glucose (mg/dL)60–1101279397107Sodium (mmol/dL)138–160145147146146Potassium (mmol/dL)3.7–5.83.44.14.74.2Total protein (g/dL)5.4–8.26.26.86.46.6Globulins (a/dL)2.3–5.22.83.63.33.3	Alanine transaminase (U/L)	10–118	24	22	29	43
Total bilirubin (mg/dL) 0.1–0.6 0.3 0.4 0.3 0.3 Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL) 7–25 11 17 12 11 Calcium (mg/dL) 8.6–11.8 9.3 9.3 9.1 9.1 Phosphorus (mg/dL) 2.9–6.6 3.0 4.3 5.5 5.7 Creatinine (mg/dL) 0.3–1.4 0.7 0.9 0.6 0.8 Glucose (mg/dL) 60–110 127 93 97 107 Sodium (mmol/dL) 138–160 145 147 146 146 Potassium (mmol/dL) 3.7–5.8 3.4 4.1 4.7 4.2 Total protein (g/dL) 5.4–8.2 6.2 6.8 6.4 6.6 Globulins (a/dL) 2.3–5.2 2.8 3.6 3.3 3.3	Amylase (U/L)	200-1,200	559	714	493	469
Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL)7-2511171211Calcium (mg/dL)8.6–11.89.39.39.19.1Phosphorus (mg/dL)2.9–6.63.04.35.55.7Creatinine (mg/dL)0.3–1.40.70.90.60.8Glucose (mg/dL)60–1101279397107Sodium (mmol/dL)138–160145147146146Potassium (mmol/dL)3.7–5.83.44.14.74.2Total protein (g/dL)5.4–8.26.26.86.46.6Globulins (a/dL)2.3–5.22.83.63.33.3	Total bilirubin (mg/dL)	0.1-0.6	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3
Calcium (mg/dL)8.6–11.89.39.39.19.1Phosphorus (mg/dL)2.9–6.63.04.35.55.7Creatinine (mg/dL)0.3–1.40.70.90.60.8Glucose (mg/dL)60–1101279397107Sodium (mmol/dL)138–160145147146146Potassium (mmol/dL)3.7–5.83.44.14.74.2Total protein (g/dL)5.4–8.26.26.86.46.6Globulins (a/dL)2.3–5.22.83.63.33.3	Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL)	7–25	11	17	12	11
Phosphorus (mg/dL) 2.9–6.6 3.0 4.3 5.5 5.7 Creatinine (mg/dL) 0.3–1.4 0.7 0.9 0.6 0.8 Glucose (mg/dL) 60–110 127 93 97 107 Sodium (mmol/dL) 138–160 145 147 146 146 Potassium (mmol/dL) 3.7–5.8 3.4 4.1 4.7 4.2 Total protein (g/dL) 5.4–8.2 6.2 6.8 6.4 6.6 Globulins (a/dL) 2.3–5.2 2.8 3.6 3.3 3.3	Calcium (mg/dL)	8.6–11.8	9.3	9.3	9.1	9.1
Creating (mg/dL) 0.3–1.4 0.7 0.9 0.6 0.8 Glucose (mg/dL) 60–110 127 93 97 107 Sodium (mmol/dL) 138–160 145 147 146 146 Potassium (mmol/dL) 3.7–5.8 3.4 4.1 4.7 4.2 Total protein (g/dL) 5.4–8.2 6.2 6.8 6.4 6.6 Globulins (a/dL) 2.3–5.2 2.8 3.6 3.3 3.3	Phosphorus (mg/dL)	2.9-6.6	3.0	4.3	5.5	5.7
Glucose (mg/dL) 60–110 127 93 97 107 Sodium (mmol/dL) 138–160 145 147 146 146 Potassium (mmol/dL) 3.7–5.8 3.4 4.1 4.7 4.2 Total protein (g/dL) 5.4–8.2 6.2 6.8 6.4 6.6 Globulins (a/dL) 2.3–5.2 2.8 3.6 3.3 3.3	Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.3–1.4	0.7	0.9	0.6	0.8
Sodium (mmol/dL) 138–160 145 147 146 146 Potassium (mmol/dL) 3.7–5.8 3.4 4.1 4.7 4.2 Total protein (g/dL) 5.4–8.2 6.2 6.8 6.4 6.6 Globulins (a/dL) 2.3–5.2 2.8 3.6 3.3 3.3	Glucose (mg/dL)	60-110	127	93	97	107
Potassium (mmol/dL) 3.7–5.8 3.4 4.1 4.7 4.2 Total protein (g/dL) 5.4–8.2 6.2 6.8 6.4 6.6 Globulins (a/dL) 2.3–5.2 2.8 3.6 3.3 3.3	Sodium (mmol/dL)	138–160	145	147	146	146
Total protein (g/dL) 5.4–8.2 6.2 6.8 6.4 6.6 Globulins (a/dL) 2.3–5.2 2.8 3.6 3.3 3.3	Potassium (mmol/dL)	3.7–5.8	3.4	4.1	4.7	4.2
Globulins (a/dL) 2.3–5.2 2.8 3.6 3.3 3.3	Total protein (q/dL)	5.4-8.2	6.2	6.8	6.4	6.6
	Globulins (g/dL)	2.3–5.2	2.8	3.6	3.3	3.3

High (H) or low (L) relative to the "Reference range" values column for healthy dogs.

change was not observed in the present report, possibly because injectable route bypasses hepatic metabolism.

Liposome-based formulations provide sustained-release of drugs (24, 26), and in many cases improve drug therapeutic index (39). In this report, a single subcutaneous injection of CBD encapsulated into liposomes was proven to provide slow drug release over the tested period. The reported oral bioavailability of CBD is 6% in people and considered to be variable and dependent on fasting/fed conditions (19). Parenteral CBD administration, such as reported here, is beneficial as it is not dependent on food intake and absorption may be complete avoiding the first pass metabolism. The AUC obtained



in the present report was 6,966 ng·h/mL and when normalized to dose it is 1,393 ng·h/mL/mg/kg. This value is similar to the AUC obtained following CBD intravenous administration to dogs, which was 1,203 and 1,354 ng·h/mL/mg/kg following mean doses of 2.25 and 4.5 mg/kg (45 mg and 90 mg to dogs weighing 16-24 kg), respectively (40). In that study, an oral CBD in gelatin formulation using 180 mg per dog (i.e., a mean dose of 6 mg/kg) resulted in bioavailability of 13-19% in 3 of the 6 dogs tested and 0% (plasma CBD was not detected) in the other 3 dogs. If bioavailability is calculated for the dog presented here (AUC subcutaneous / Dose [mg/kg] divided by AUC intravenous / Dose [mg/kg]), then the bioavailability obtained after subcutaneous administration of the described liposomal CBD is complete (i.e., 1,393 ng·h/mL/mg/kg / 1,354 $ng\cdot h /mL/mg/kg = 1.03$). While intravenous administration outlined high initial plasma concentrations and rapid decline of CBD plasma levels followed by a prolonged elimination, with a mean half-life of 7-9h, the CBD plasma profile obtained with subcutaneous liposomal administration increased gradually and showed mono exponential decline starting 6 days following administration, with half-life of 86 h.

Pharmacokinetic evaluation of repeated oral CBD administration 1-12 mg/kg/day for 28 days in healthy dogs reported an AUC normalized to dose of 119 ng·h/mL/mg/kg after the 1st dosing day and 241 ng·h/ml/mg/kg after the 28th day of the highest dose tested (12 mg/kg) (18). These values represent 9% and 18% bioavailability, respectively, compared with intravenous administration (40). The reported C_{max} and T_{max} at the 28th day of administration were 53-201 ng/mL and 2.3-5.8 h, respectively (18). Another study investigating the pharmacokinetics of a single-dose CBD oil (2 vs. 8 mg/kg) in four beagle dogs reported an AUC normalized to dose of 184 and 332 ng·h/ml/mg/kg, which may correspond to a bioavailability of approximately 14 and 25%, respectively. Median Cmax and Tmax were 102.3 ng/mL and 1.5 h and 590.8 ng/mL and 2 h for the 2 and 8 mg/kg, respectively, with half-life of 4.2 h following both doses (14). A study investigating the pharmacokinetics of CBD in an oral formulation of cannabis herbal extract containing 1:20 THC:CBD at three CBD doses: 2, 5 and 10 mg/kg (each dose tested in 6 dogs), reported a normalized to dose AUC of 380, 587 and 724 ng·h/ml/mg/kg, respectively (41). Because the variance in that study was very high, bioavailability could not be determined. C_{max} and T_{max} reported in that study were 213–1,868 ng/mL and 1.9–2.3 h, respectively, with half-life of approximately 2.5 h following all doses (41). It is important to note that in recent studies CBD dosage forms were oil-based, which produced higher CBD plasma concentrations compared with the concentrations reported by Samara et al. that used oral delivery of water soluble gelatin-based preparation (40). The higher plasma CBD is likely related to improved CBD absorption.

In the present report C_{max} was lower than reported steady-state CBD plasma/serum concentration following 2– 6 weeks oral administration of CBD or hemp extract in several studies in dogs; approximately 60–125 ng/mL (22), approximately 80–160 ng/mL (21), median (range) 311 (5– 860) ng/mL (17). Although higher doses can be administered when prolonged-release formulations are used, in the present report we decided to use a generally moderate dose, which was also tested following intravenous administration (40), because the pharmacokinetic profile of the formulation was unknown in dogs. In further studies of the liposomal CBD, pharmacokinetics and clinical effects of higher doses should be investigated.

Cannabis products for veterinary patients may not be legally permitted in some countries, which limits their beneficial use in painful animals. However, synthetic CBD, as was used in the present report, is not considered a controlled substance, and it may be used in the future in veterinary patients, although, more evidence-based research is indicated.

Limitations to this case report include the fact that this formulation was tested only in one dog and other dogs may respond differently and/or adverse effects may be produced. The dog was geriatric, which may have affected CBD absorption and elimination from the body. Additionally, his old age and poor systemic condition differ from most experimental dogs from other studies, rendering the comparison of his AUC to these studies not meaningful. Bioavailability was calculated based on the only study reporting intravenous CBD administration from 1988 (40), which is suboptimal and probably not accurate. Both owners and veterinarian were aware of the treatment, and it is known that care giver bias may affect patient evaluation.

CONCLUSION

Subcutaneous administration of liposomal CBD showed high exposure in terms of AUC, with relatively lower CBD plasma concentrations maintained over the tested period (28 days) and may be an effective and attractive additional treatment as part of multimodal pain management in dogs suffering from chronic pain. This formulation can be an alternative route to oral CBD administration in cases where owner compliance, palatability and/or bioavailability are low. Further investigations of this formulation are of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

- Riley LM, Satchell L, Stilwell LM, Lenton NS. Effect of massage therapy on pain and quality of life in dogs: a cross sectional study. *Vet Rec.* (2021) 189:e586. doi: 10.1002/vetr.586
- 2. Schachner ER, Lopez MJ. Diagnosis, prevention, and management of canine hip dysplasia: a review. *Vet Med.* (2015) 6:181–92. doi: 10.2147/VMRR.S53266
- Flor PB, Yazbek KV Ida KK, Fantoni DT. Tramadol plus metamizole combined or not with anti-inflammatory drugs is clinically effective for moderate to severe chronic pain treatment in cancer patients. *Vet Anaesth Analg.* (2013) 40:316–27. doi: 10.1111/vaa.12023
- Reymond N, Speranza C, Gruet P, Seewald W, King JN. Robenacoxib vs. carprofen for the treatment of canine osteoarthritis; a randomized, noninferiority clinical trial. *J Vet Pharmacol Ther.* (2012) 35:175–83. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2885.2011.01297.x
- Muller C, Gines JA, Conzemius M, Meyers R, Lascelles BDX. Evaluation of the effect of signalment and owner-reported impairment level on accelerometermeasured changes in activity in osteoarthritic dogs receiving a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory. Vet J. (2018) 242:48–52. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2018.10.005
- Belshaw Z, Asher L, Dean RS. The attitudes of owners and veterinary professionals in the United Kingdom to the risk of adverse events associated with using non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) to treat dogs with osteoarthritis. *Prev Vet Med.* (2016) 131:121–6. doi: 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2016.07.017
- Innes JF, Clayton J, Lascelles BD. Review of the safety and efficacy of longterm NSAID use in the treatment of canine osteoarthritis. *Vet Rec.* (2010) 166:226–30. doi: 10.1136/vr.c97
- Starowicz K, Finn DP. Cannabinoids and pain: sites and mechanisms of action. Adv Pharmacol. (2017) 80:437–75. doi: 10.1016/bs.apha.2017.05.003
- Barrie N, Manolios N. The endocannabinoid system in pain and inflammation: Its relevance to rheumatic disease. *Eur J Rheumatol.* (2017) 4:210–8. doi: 10.5152/eurjrheum.2017.17025

ETHICS STATEMENT

Ethical review and approval was not required for the animal study because this case report was carried out as a compassion treatment in accordance with "Good Clinical Practice". Written informed consent was obtained from the owners for the participation of their animals in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

YS-B, AC, and DB contributed to the data acquisition and interpretation, drafted, and revised the manuscript. DZ and AH contributed to the data acquisition. YB and EL interpreted the results and revised the manuscript. All authors approved the final version to be submitted.

FUNDING

The liposomal CBD formulation and all treatments and tests were funded by Innocan Pharma Ltd., Israel.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fvets. 2022.892306/full#supplementary-material

- Blatt-Janmaat K, Qu Y. The Biochemistry of Phytocannabinoids and Metabolic Engineering of Their Production in Heterologous Systems. Int J Mol Sci. (2021) 22:2454. doi: 10.3390/ijms22052454
- O'Brien M, McDougall JJ. Cannabis and joints: scientific evidence for the alleviation of osteoarthritis pain by cannabinoids. *Curr Opin Pharmacol.* (2018) 40:104–9. doi: 10.1016/j.coph.2018.03.012
- 12. Fitzcharles MA, Baerwald C, Ablin J, Hauser W. Efficacy, tolerability and safety of cannabinoids in chronic pain associated with rheumatic diseases (fibromyalgia syndrome, back pain, osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis): a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Schmerz.* (2016) 30:47–61. doi: 10.1007/s00482-015-0084-3
- Millar SA, Stone NL, Bellman ZD, Yates AS, England TJ, O'Sullivan SE, et al. systematic review of cannabidiol dosing in clinical populations. Br J Clin Pharmacol. (2019) 85:1888–900. doi: 10.1111/bcp.14038
- Gamble LJ, Boesch JM, Frye CW, Schwark WS, Mann S, Wolfe L, et al. Pharmacokinetics, safety, and clinical efficacy of cannabidiol treatment in osteoarthritic dogs. *Front Vet Sci.* (2018) 5:165. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2018.00165
- Verrico CD, Wesson S, Konduri V, Hofferek CJ, Vazquez-Perez J, Blair E, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of daily cannabidiol for the treatment of canine osteoarthritis pain. *Pain.* (2020) 161:2191–202. doi: 10.1097/j.pain.00000000001896
- Brioschi FA, Di Cesare F, Gioeni D, Rabbogliatti V, Ferrari F, D'Urso ES, et al. Oral transmucosal cannabidiol oil formulation as part of a multimodal analgesic regimen: effects on pain relief and quality of life improvement in dogs affected by spontaneous osteoarthritis. *Animals*. (2020) 10:1505. doi: 10.3390/ani10091505
- Mejia S, Duerr FM, Griffenhagen G, McGrath S. Evaluation of the effect of cannabidiol on naturally occurring osteoarthritisassociated pain: a pilot study in dogs. J Am Anim Hosp Assoc. (2021) 57:81–90. doi: 10.5326/JAAHA-MS-7119
- Vaughn DM, Paulionis LJ, Kulpa JE. Randomized, placebo-controlled, 28-day safety and pharmacokinetics evaluation of repeated oral

cannabidiol administration in healthy dogs. *Am J Vet Res.* (2021) 82:405–16. doi: 10.2460/ajvr.82.5.405

- Perucca E, Bialer M. Critical aspects affecting cannabidiol oral bioavailability and metabolic elimination, and related clinical implications. *CNS Drugs*. (2020) 34:795–800. doi: 10.1007/s40263-020-00741-5
- Deabold KA, Schwark WS, Wolf L, Wakshlag JJ. Single-dose pharmacokinetics and preliminary safety assessment with use of CBDrich hemp nutraceutical in healthy dogs and cats. *Animals*. (2019) 9:832. doi: 10.3390/ani9100832
- 21. Bartner LR, McGrath S, Rao S, Hyatt LK, Wittenburg LA. Pharmacokinetics of cannabidiol administered by 3 delivery methods at 2 different dosages to healthy dogs. *Can J Vet Res.* (2018) 82:178–83.
- 22. Wakshlag JJ, Schwark WS, Deabold KA, Talsma BN, Cital S, Lyubimov A, et al. Pharmacokinetics of cannabidiol, cannabidiolic acid, Delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol, tetrahydrocannabinolic acid and related metabolites in canine serum after dosing with three oral forms of hemp extract. *Front Vet Sci.* (2020) 7:505. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2020.00505
- McGrath S, Bartner LR, Rao S, Packer RA, Gustafson DL. Randomized blinded controlled clinical trial to assess the effect of oral cannabidiol administration in addition to conventional antiepileptic treatment on seizure frequency in dogs with intractable idiopathic epilepsy. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* (2019) 254:1301–8. doi: 10.2460/javma.254.11.1301
- Abu Lila AS, Ishida T. Liposomal delivery systems: design optimization and current applications. *Biol Pharm Bull.* (2017) 40:1–10. doi: 10.1248/bpb.b16-00624
- Patil YP, Jadhav S. Novel methods for liposome preparation. *Chem Phys Lipids*. (2014) 177:8–18. doi: 10.1016/j.chemphyslip.2013.10.011
- Rahnfeld L, Luciani P. Injectable lipid-based depot formulations: where do we stand? *Pharmaceutics*. (2020) 12:567. doi: 10.3390/pharmaceutics120 60567
- Brown DC, Boston RC, Coyne JC, Farrar JT. Ability of the canine brief pain inventory to detect response to treatment in dogs with osteoarthritis. J Am Vet Med Assoc. (2008) 233:1278–83. doi: 10.2460/javma.233.8.1278
- Brown DC, Bell M, Rhodes L. Power of treatment success definitions when the Canine Brief Pain Inventory is used to evaluate carprofen treatment for the control of pain and inflammation in dogs with osteoarthritis. *Am J Vet Res.* (2013) 74:1467–73. doi: 10.2460/ajvr.74.12.1467
- Dos Santos RG, Hallak JEC, Crippa JAS. Neuropharmacological effects of the main phytocannabinoids: a narrative review. *Adv Exp Med Biol.* (2021) 1264:29–45. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-57369-0_3
- Legare CA, Raup-Konsavage WM, Vrana KE. Therapeutic potential of cannabis, cannabidiol, and cannabinoid-based pharmaceuticals. *Pharmacology*. (2022) 107:131–49. doi: 10.1159/000521683
- Philpott HT, O'Brien M, McDougall JJ. Attenuation of early phase inflammation by cannabidiol prevents pain and nerve damage in rat osteoarthritis. *Pain.* (2017) 158:2442– 51. doi: 10.1097/j.pain.00000000001052
- Brown DC, Boston RC, Farrar JT. Use of an activity monitor to detect response to treatment in dogs with osteoarthritis. J Am Vet Med Assoc. (2010) 237:66–70. doi: 10.2460/javma.237.1.66
- Belda B, Enomoto M, Case BC, Lascelles BDX. Initial evaluation of PetPace activity monitor. Vet J. (2018) 237:63–8. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2018.05.011

- 34. Ortmeyer HK, Robey L, McDonald T. Combining actigraph link and petpace collar data to measure activity, proximity, and physiological responses in freely moving dogs in a natural environment. *Animals.* (2018) 8:230. doi: 10.3390/ani8120230
- 35. Ortmeyer HK, Katzel LI. Effects of proximity between companion dogs and their caregivers on heart rate variability measures in older adults: a pilot study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2020) 17:2674. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17082674
- 36. Darville N, van Heerden M, Vynckier A, De Meulder M, Sterkens P, Annaert P, et al. Intramuscular administration of paliperidone palmitate extended-release injectable microsuspension induces a subclinical inflammatory reaction modulating the pharmacokinetics in rats. *J Pharm Sci.* (2014) 103:2072–87. doi: 10.1002/jps.24014
- 37. Darville N, van Heerden M, Erkens T, De Jonghe S, Vynckier A, De Meulder M, et al. Modeling the time course of the tissue responses to intramuscular long-acting paliperidone palmitate nano-/microcrystals and polystyrene microspheres in the rat. *Toxicol Pathol.* (2016) 44:189–210. doi: 10.1177/0192623315618291
- Richard BM, Rickert DE, Newton PE, Ott LR, Haan D, Brubaker AN, et al. Safety evaluation of EXPAREL (DepoFoam Bupivacaine) administered by repeated subcutaneous injection in rabbits and dogs: species comparison. J Drug Deliv. (2011) 2011:467429. doi: 10.1155/2011/467429
- Bulbake U, Doppalapudi S, Kommineni N, Khan W. Liposomal formulations in clinical use: an updated review. *Pharmaceutics*. (2017) 9:12. doi: 10.3390/pharmaceutics9020012
- Samara E, Bialer M, Mechoulam R. Pharmacokinetics of cannabidiol in dogs. Drug Metab Dispos. (1988) 16:469–72.
- Chicoine A, Illing K, Vuong S, Pinto KR, Alcorn J, Cosford K. Pharmacokinetic and safety evaluation of various oral doses of a novel 1:20 THC:CBD cannabis herbal extract in dogs. *Front Vet Sci.* (2020) 7:583404. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2020.583404

Conflict of Interest: AC, DZ, and AH are supported by Innocan Pharma Ltd. AC and YB have a patent pending on the liposomal CBD used in this report.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Shilo-Benjamini, Cern, Zilbersheid, Hod, Lavy, Barasch and Barenholz. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.